



TECHNICAL LEARNING AND ASSESSMENT DOSSIER

Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks - Course + certificate

Course + certificate

780,00 €

VAT excluded

Course code

ENV-LIAB-COURSE

Minimum duration

480 min

Final pass score

80%

Dossier issue

13/10/2026

1. Course identity, purpose and scope

Specialist course on risk sources, environmental damage, prevention and remediation obligations, incident scenarios, exposure mapping, technical and organizational controls, claim management and interaction with insurance solutions.

This extended technical dossier describes the pathway as published in CIWZ Academy on the issue date. It consolidates purpose, references, learning architecture, module contents, assessment system, passing criteria and certificate conditions. It does not replace the official texts of the standards, laws, guidelines or schemes referenced.

2. Normative, regulatory and technical references

Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer

References are used as the learning and assessment framework within the limits of published content and available sources. For professional application, the official and current versions of the referenced documents always prevail.

3. Learning architecture and assessment system

- 10 structured learning modules
- minimum tracked time: 480 min
- intermediate-check bank: 160 questions
- active final-exam bank: 100 questions
- final exam: 30 questions selected from the bank
- passing threshold: 80%
- Access to the pathway through the personal Academy profile or a corporate assignment.
- Sequential study of the modules, with server-side recording of progress and required minimum time.

- Intermediate checks linked to modules to verify understanding, application capability and quality of reasoning.
- Final exam unlock only after the pathway requirements have been completed.
- Protected final exam assembled by the platform through selection from the active course question bank.
- Recording of the result and, where applicable, the option to request the final certificate.

4. Official catalogue technical information

Purpose

Specialist course on risk sources, environmental damage, prevention and remediation obligations, incident scenarios, exposure mapping, technical and organizational controls, claim management and interaction with insurance solutions.

References

Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer

Mode

Structured online learning with intermediate checks, minimum tracked study time and protected final assessment.

Certificate

The CIWZ certificate records successful completion of the CIWZ pathway or assessment. It does not replace third-party personnel certification, professional qualification, licence or credential where required.

5. Detailed course syllabus

The following syllabus is derived from the active modules published on the platform. Each module shows its minimum study time and the size of its available intermediate-check bank.

01 EU environmental-liability framework

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

02 Part Six of Legislative Decree 152/2006

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

03 Damage to species, habitats, water and land

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

04 Imminent threat and preventive measures

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

05 Primary, complementary and compensatory remediation

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

06 Site mapping and incident scenarios

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

07 Controls, monitoring and preparedness

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

08 Event and evidence management

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

09 Environmental insurance, limits and exclusions

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

10 Claim scenario and response plan

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

6. Developed module-by-module contents

The following pages analytically describe the content actually associated with each platform module. The structure is designed so that learners, companies, HR, Quality, HSE or CISO functions can understand precisely what is studied and assessed.

MODULE 01**EU environmental-liability framework**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 1 addresses EU environmental-liability framework within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to EU environmental-liability framework

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “EU environmental-liability framework” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

MODULE 02**Part Six of Legislative Decree 152/2006**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 2 addresses Part Six of Legislative Decree 152/2006 within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to Part Six of Legislative Decree 152/2006

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Part Six of Legislative Decree 152/2006” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

MODULE 03**Damage to species, habitats, water and land**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 3 addresses Damage to species, habitats, water and land within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to Damage to species, habitats, water and land

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Damage to species, habitats, water and land” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

MODULE 04**Imminent threat and preventive measures**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 4 addresses Imminent threat and preventive measures within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to Imminent threat and preventive measures

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Imminent threat and preventive measures” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

MODULE 05**Primary, complementary and compensatory remediation**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 5 addresses Primary, complementary and compensatory remediation within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to Primary, complementary and compensatory remediation

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and

relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Primary, complementary and compensatory remediation” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

MODULE 06**Site mapping and incident scenarios**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 6 addresses Site mapping and incident scenarios within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to Site mapping and incident scenarios

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Site mapping and incident scenarios” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

MODULE 07**Controls, monitoring and preparedness**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 7 addresses Controls, monitoring and preparedness within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to Controls, monitoring and preparedness

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Controls, monitoring and preparedness” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

MODULE 08**Event and evidence management**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 8 addresses Event and evidence management within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to Event and evidence management

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Event and evidence management” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

MODULE 09**Environmental insurance, limits and exclusions**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 9 addresses Environmental insurance, limits and exclusions within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to Environmental insurance, limits and exclusions

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Environmental insurance, limits and exclusions” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

MODULE 10**Claim scenario and response plan**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

1. Module objective

Module 10 addresses Claim scenario and response plan within the “Specialist Course on Environmental Liability Risks” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Directive 2004/35/EC on environmental liability; Italian Legislative Decree 152/2006, Part Six as amended; prevention and remediation of environmental damage; risk assessment and insurance risk transfer. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

4. Application to Claim scenario and response plan

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

7. Applied case

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Claim scenario and response plan” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

8. Mastery checklist

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

7. Assessment system, final exam and passing criteria

- Intermediate checks are linked to individual modules and provide progressive learning control before access to the final assessment.
- The final assessment consists of 30 questions selected from the active course bank. The platform applies an anti-repetition logic for new attempts when the bank size allows it.
- Final question-bank size detected at generation time: 100 active questions.
- Published passing threshold for the course: 80%.
- Questions are intended to assess not only recall but also interpretation, application, evidence selection, scenario assessment and quality of decision-making, depending on the subject matter.

8. Tracking, learning continuity and session integrity

- Path status, completed modules and recorded time are managed server-side when the course uses the Academy learning mode.
- The platform allows the pathway to resume from the recorded point and retains the results of required checks.
- Assessment sessions may be subject to the integrity and proctoring controls enabled by the platform and described in the applicable usage rules.
- Technical and integrity events support assessment and do not replace professional judgement where human review is required.

9. Certificate, use and limitations

The CIWZ certificate, where provided by the pathway and requested after passing, records the result achieved in the stated CIWZ pathway or assessment. It does not automatically replace personnel certification, professional registration, statutory licence, legal qualification or credentials issued by third-party bodies where such requirements are imposed by the applicable scheme.

10. Commercial and tax conditions

- Course + certificate: 780,00 € VAT excluded.
- UAE VAT is applied to UAE customers according to billing data and the applicable tax rules.
- Any specific commercial terms, agreements or corporate orders override the public price only when formally accepted by Certificato IWZ FZCO.

11. Dossier document control

- Document automatically generated by CIWZ Academy from the course data published at the time of the request.

- Course code: ENV-LIAB-COURSE
- Document language: EN
- Generation date: 13/10/2026
- For normative and professional application, the current official sources and any applicable procedures/schemes prevail.