



## TECHNICAL LEARNING AND ASSESSMENT DOSSIER

# CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings - Course + certificate

Course + certificate

**780,00 €**

VAT excluded

Course code

**CAM-EDIL-COURSE**

Minimum duration

**480 min**

Final pass score

**80%**

Dossier issue

**13/10/2026**

### 1. Course identity, purpose and scope

Applied course on current Italian Building CAM, from design to execution: building performance, construction products, recycled content, design for disassembly, indoor environmental quality, site management, verification and award criteria.

This extended technical dossier describes the pathway as published in CIWZ Academy on the issue date. It consolidates purpose, references, learning architecture, module contents, assessment system, passing criteria and certificate conditions. It does not replace the official texts of the standards, laws, guidelines or schemes referenced.

### 2. Normative, regulatory and technical references

Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2

References are used as the learning and assessment framework within the limits of published content and available sources. For professional application, the official and current versions of the referenced documents always prevail.

### 3. Learning architecture and assessment system

- 10 structured learning modules
- minimum tracked time: 480 min
- intermediate-check bank: 160 questions
- active final-exam bank: 100 questions
- final exam: 30 questions selected from the bank
- passing threshold: 80%
- Access to the pathway through the personal Academy profile or a corporate assignment.
- Sequential study of the modules, with server-side recording of progress and required minimum time.

- Intermediate checks linked to modules to verify understanding, application capability and quality of reasoning.
- Final exam unlock only after the pathway requirements have been completed.
- Protected final exam assembled by the platform through selection from the active course question bank.
- Recording of the result and, where applicable, the option to request the final certificate.

## 4. Official catalogue technical information

### Purpose

Applied course on current Italian Building CAM, from design to execution: building performance, construction products, recycled content, design for disassembly, indoor environmental quality, site management, verification and award criteria.

### References

Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2

### Mode

Structured online learning with intermediate checks, minimum tracked study time and protected final assessment.

### Certificate

The CIWZ certificate records successful completion of the CIWZ pathway or assessment. It does not replace third-party personnel certification, professional qualification, licence or credential where required.

## 5. Detailed course syllabus

The following syllabus is derived from the active modules published on the platform. Each module shows its minimum study time and the size of its available intermediate-check bank.

### 01 Building CAM legal framework and structure

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

### 02 Environmental performance of the building

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

### 03 Construction products and documentary requirements

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

### 04 Recycled content, reuse and design for disassembly

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

**05 Comfort, indoor environmental quality and materials**

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

**06 Water efficiency, energy and climate resilience**

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

**07 Sustainable construction site and waste management**

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

**08 Tests, declarations, EPDs and certifications**

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

**09 Award criteria and tender documentation**

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

**10 Building CAM verification case**

Minimum time: 48 min | Intermediate questions: 16

## 6. Developed module-by-module contents

The following pages analytically describe the content actually associated with each platform module. The structure is designed so that learners, companies, HR, Quality, HSE or CISO functions can understand precisely what is studied and assessed.

**MODULE 01****Building CAM legal framework and structure**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

**1. Module objective**

Module 1 addresses Building CAM legal framework and structure within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

**2. Normative framework and criteria**

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

**3. Operational method**

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

**4. Application to Building CAM legal framework and structure**

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

**5. Evidence and controls**

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

**6. Errors to avoid**

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Building CAM legal framework and structure” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

**MODULE 02****Environmental performance of the building**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

**1. Module objective**

Module 2 addresses Environmental performance of the building within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

**2. Normative framework and criteria**

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

**3. Operational method**

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

**4. Application to Environmental performance of the building**

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

**5. Evidence and controls**

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

**6. Errors to avoid**

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Environmental performance of the building” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

**MODULE 03****Construction products and documentary requirements**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

**1. Module objective**

Module 3 addresses Construction products and documentary requirements within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

**2. Normative framework and criteria**

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

**3. Operational method**

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

**4. Application to Construction products and documentary requirements**

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

**5. Evidence and controls**

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

**6. Errors to avoid**

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Construction products and documentary requirements” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

**MODULE 04****Recycled content, reuse and design for disassembly**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

**1. Module objective**

Module 4 addresses Recycled content, reuse and design for disassembly within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

**2. Normative framework and criteria**

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

**3. Operational method**

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

**4. Application to Recycled content, reuse and design for disassembly**

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

**5. Evidence and controls**

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

**6. Errors to avoid**

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Recycled content, reuse and design for disassembly” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

**MODULE 05****Comfort, indoor environmental quality and materials**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

**1. Module objective**

Module 5 addresses Comfort, indoor environmental quality and materials within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

**2. Normative framework and criteria**

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

**3. Operational method**

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

**4. Application to Comfort, indoor environmental quality and materials**

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

**5. Evidence and controls**

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

**6. Errors to avoid**

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Comfort, indoor environmental quality and materials” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

## MODULE 06

### Water efficiency, energy and climate resilience

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

#### 1. Module objective

Module 6 addresses Water efficiency, energy and climate resilience within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

#### 2. Normative framework and criteria

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

#### 3. Operational method

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

#### 4. Application to Water efficiency, energy and climate resilience

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

#### 5. Evidence and controls

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

#### 6. Errors to avoid

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Water efficiency, energy and climate resilience” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

**MODULE 07****Sustainable construction site and waste management**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

**1. Module objective**

Module 7 addresses Sustainable construction site and waste management within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

**2. Normative framework and criteria**

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

**3. Operational method**

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

**4. Application to Sustainable construction site and waste management**

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

**5. Evidence and controls**

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

**6. Errors to avoid**

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Sustainable construction site and waste management” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

**MODULE 08****Tests, declarations, EPDs and certifications**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

**1. Module objective**

Module 8 addresses Tests, declarations, EPDs and certifications within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

**2. Normative framework and criteria**

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

**3. Operational method**

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

**4. Application to Tests, declarations, EPDs and certifications**

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

**5. Evidence and controls**

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

**6. Errors to avoid**

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Tests, declarations, EPDs and certifications” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

**MODULE 09****Award criteria and tender documentation**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

**1. Module objective**

Module 9 addresses Award criteria and tender documentation within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

**2. Normative framework and criteria**

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

**3. Operational method**

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

**4. Application to Award criteria and tender documentation**

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

**5. Evidence and controls**

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

**6. Errors to avoid**

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Award criteria and tender documentation” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

**MODULE 10****Building CAM verification case**

- Minimum required time: 48 min
- Available intermediate-check bank: 16 questions

**1. Module objective**

Module 10 addresses Building CAM verification case within the “CAM Expert in Sustainable Design - Buildings” pathway. The goal is not rote learning: learners must identify the applicable requirement or criterion, translate it into an operational decision, identify necessary evidence and assess the quality of the outcome.

**2. Normative framework and criteria**

Pathway references: Italian MASE Decree 24 November 2025 - Building CAM; Legislative Decree 36/2023 as amended; Regulation (EU) 2024/3110; ISO 14040:2006; ISO 14044:2006+Amd; ISO 14025:2026; EN 15804+A2. The training material provides an applied interpretation and does not replace official texts. In case of conflict, amendment or transition, the current legal act, controlled official standard and applicable scheme/programme rules prevail.

**3. Operational method**

- Define scope, boundaries, accountability and expected result before choosing the solution.
- Separate legal, contractual, voluntary and internal requirements.
- Set verifiable criteria in advance: thresholds, documents, measures, owners and review method.
- Collect sufficient and relevant evidence, triangulating documents, data, observation and interviews.
- Control deviations and exceptions through justification, authorization, duration, residual-risk control and follow-up verification.

**4. Application to Building CAM verification case**

Decision quality depends on linking the technical criterion to the real context. A formally correct solution may be ineffective if interfaces, timing, input data, accountability, change and operating conditions are ignored. The professional should make the reasoning reconstructable: observed fact, applied criterion, assessment, decision, evidence and effectiveness check.

**5. Evidence and controls**

- approved, version-controlled documents aligned with actual practice;
- records showing who decided, on which information and with which checks;
- quantitative or qualitative data comparable over time with declared source and method;
- supplier, project or third-party controls where results depend on the value chain;
- follow-up reviews demonstrating effectiveness, not merely completion.

**6. Errors to avoid**

Critical errors include documentary-only conformity, use of standard wording without case-specific reasoning, selective evidence, absence of criteria before decisions and closing actions without measuring effectiveness. A certificate, declaration or label must never be treated as automatic proof of every requirement: scope, validity and relevance must be checked.

## 7. Applied case

---

Scenario: during a project review, the requirement concerning “Building CAM verification case” was managed through established practice but without measurable criteria and with incomplete evidence. The responsible person must avoid both a paper-only fix and indiscriminate suspension. The requirement must be reconstructed, risk assessed, a proportionate measure defined, evidence completed and effectiveness checked.

## 8. Mastery checklist

---

- Can I explain the topic without relying on memorized definitions?
- Can I distinguish requirement, verification criterion and evidence?
- Can I identify insufficient evidence even when a document exists?
- Can I select a measure proportionate to risk and context?
- Can I justify a conclusion so that another competent person can reconstruct it?

## 7. Assessment system, final exam and passing criteria

- Intermediate checks are linked to individual modules and provide progressive learning control before access to the final assessment.
- The final assessment consists of 30 questions selected from the active course bank. The platform applies an anti-repetition logic for new attempts when the bank size allows it.
- Final question-bank size detected at generation time: 100 active questions.
- Published passing threshold for the course: 80%.
- Questions are intended to assess not only recall but also interpretation, application, evidence selection, scenario assessment and quality of decision-making, depending on the subject matter.

## 8. Tracking, learning continuity and session integrity

- Path status, completed modules and recorded time are managed server-side when the course uses the Academy learning mode.
- The platform allows the pathway to resume from the recorded point and retains the results of required checks.
- Assessment sessions may be subject to the integrity and proctoring controls enabled by the platform and described in the applicable usage rules.
- Technical and integrity events support assessment and do not replace professional judgement where human review is required.

## 9. Certificate, use and limitations

The CIWZ certificate, where provided by the pathway and requested after passing, records the result achieved in the stated CIWZ pathway or assessment. It does not automatically replace personnel certification, professional registration, statutory licence, legal qualification or credentials issued by third-party bodies where such requirements are imposed by the applicable scheme.

## 10. Commercial and tax conditions

- Course + certificate: 780,00 € VAT excluded.
- UAE VAT is applied to UAE customers according to billing data and the applicable tax rules.
- Any specific commercial terms, agreements or corporate orders override the public price only when formally accepted by Certificato IWZ FZCO.

## 11. Dossier document control

- Document automatically generated by CIWZ Academy from the course data published at the time of the request.

- Course code: CAM-EDIL-COURSE
- Document language: EN
- Generation date: 13/10/2026
- For normative and professional application, the current official sources and any applicable procedures/schemes prevail.